

檔 號：
保存年限：

法務部 函

地址：100204臺北市中正區重慶南路1段
130號

承辦人：顏伶涓

電話：02-21910189分機2511

電子信箱：chuan823@mail.moj.gov.tw

受文者：臺灣高雄地方檢察署

發文日期：中華民國113年4月11日

發文字號：法綜決字第11300084650號

速別：普通件

密等及解密條件或保密期限：

附件：如說明 (A11000000F_11300084650A0C_ATTCH3. pdf、
A11000000F_11300084650A0C_ATTCH4. pdf)

主旨：轉知「2024年APEC健康女性、健康經濟體研究獎」
(Healthy Women, Healthy Economies Research
Prize) 報名及參賽資訊，請查照。

說明：依行政院性別平等處113年4月9日院臺性平字第1135007029
號函辦理，檢附來函及其附件影本各1份。

正本：本部直屬各機關(已副知所屬，無庸轉行)

副本：臺灣高等檢察署所屬各機關(含附件)、法務部行政執行署所屬各機關(含附件)、
法務部矯正署所屬各機關(含附件)、本部各單位(含附件)



高雄地方檢察署 1130411



11300214170

行政院性別平等處 函

地址：100009臺北市忠孝東路1段1號
承辦人：王愛嵐
電話：(02)3356-8109
電子信箱：alwang@ey.gov.tw

受文者：法務部

發文日期：中華民國113年4月9日

發文字號：院臺性平字第1135007029號

速別：普通件

密等及解密條件或保密期限：

附件：參賽資訊及申請表件 (5007029A00_ATTCH1.pdf)

主旨：有關「2024年APEC健康女性、健康經濟體研究獎」

(Healthy Women, Healthy Economies Research Prize)

報名及參賽資訊，詳如說明，請查照。

說明：

一、旨揭獎項係於2019年亞太經濟合作會議(簡稱APEC)主辦國智利與默克集團共同發起，旨在鼓勵各經濟體藉由性別統計分析及研究之實證基礎，協助決策者及企業家制定具體政策，提升女性健康，促進女性投入勞動市場並參與經濟活動。

二、旨揭獎項報名及參賽資訊如下(詳如附件申請表)：


(一)參賽研究主題：須至少符合「健康女性、健康經濟體」倡議所關注五大領域之一：職場健康與安全、健康意識與近用、工作與生活平衡、性與生育健康以及性別暴力，且為2022年1月1日後發表之原創研究。

(二)參賽資格：凡來自APEC會員經濟體之研究人員均得參賽，無須具學術背景，並得以個人或團隊方式(需有至少1名參加者來自APEC會員經濟體)參賽。

法務部 1130409



11300084650

- 
- (三)截止日期：自即日起至本（2024）年5月17日止。
- (四)徵件流程：報名表、研究文件PDF檔、推薦信乙封，及每位參與研究者之證件照，傳送至主辦單位電郵信箱（HWHE@accesspartnership.com），提交之研究作品應以英文撰擬，或經專業翻譯為英文。
- (五)主辦單位預計於本年7月8日至7月12日通知三名決賽入圍者，並將於8月APEC第3次資深官員會議（SOM3）之周邊活動公布結果。
- (六)獎勵：首獎獎金為20,000美元，第二名與第三名獎金為5,000美元；倘得獎者為政府官員，則獎金將轉贈予非營利團體Healthy Women，或由得獎者指定轉贈予特定非營利組織。

三、鑒於APEC為我國國際參與之重要平臺，請檢視貴機關委託研究計畫或自行研究計畫等，並轉知或推薦我國相關領域之研究人員、研究團隊或非政府組織等積極報名參賽。又為鼓勵全國大專校院之研究人員踴躍參加，請教育部協助轉知及宣傳相關單位本獎項報名訊息。

正本：內政部、外交部、國防部、財政部、教育部、法務部、經濟部、交通部、勞動部、農業部、衛生福利部、環境部、文化部、數位發展部、國家發展委員會、國家科學及技術委員會、大陸委員會、金融監督管理委員會、海洋委員會、僑務委員會、國軍退除役官兵輔導委員會、原住民族委員會、客家委員會、行政院公共工程委員會、行政院主計總處、行政院人事行政總處、中央銀行、國立故宮博物院、中央選舉委員會、公平交易委員會、國家通訊傳播委員會、臺北市政府、新北市政府、桃園市政府、臺中市政府、臺南市政府、高雄市政府、新竹縣政府、苗栗縣政府、南投縣政府、彰化縣政府、雲林縣政府、嘉義縣政府、屏東縣政府、宜蘭縣政府、花蓮縣政府、臺東縣政府、澎湖縣政府、金門縣政府、連江縣政府、基隆市政府、新竹市政府、嘉義市政府、社團法人台灣女科技人學會、國家教育研究院、財團法人中華經濟研究院、財團法人國家衛生研究院、財團法人台灣經濟研究院、財團法人台灣綜合研究院、財團法人台灣發展研究院、財團法人婦女權益促進發展基金會



副本：

2024/04/09
16:18:38
電子交換文章

裝

訂



線

2024 APEC HEALTHY WOMEN, HEALTHY ECONOMIES RESEARCH PRIZE



Asia-Pacific
Economic Cooperation

in partnership with

MERCK

Healthy women,
Healthy economies
research prize

APEC HEALTHY WOMEN, HEALTHY ECONOMIES

RESEARCH PRIZE 2024 NOMINATION FORM

Deadline May 17, 2024

Background:

The Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) is a regional economic forum established in 1989 to leverage the growing interdependence of the Asia-Pacific. APEC's 21 members aim to create greater prosperity for the people of the region by promoting balanced, inclusive, sustainable, innovative and secure growth and by accelerating regional economic integration. Every year one of the 21 APEC Member Economies hosts APEC meetings and serves as the APEC Chair. Chile is the first APEC host economy to select “Women, SMEs and Inclusive Growth” as one of its APEC host year themes. On November 17, 2018 President Sebastián Piñera announced Chile’s intention to inaugurate an “APEC Healthy Women, Healthy Economies Research Prize” in partnership with Merck¹.

Since 2014 the APEC “Healthy Women, Healthy Economies” (HWHE) initiative has been working on a public-private partnership basis across three APEC working groups – the Policy Partnership on Women and the Economy (PPWE), Health Working Group (HWG), and Human Resources Development Working Group (HRDWG) – to improve women’s health so women can join, thrive, and rise in the workforce². Merck, as the primary HWHE private sector partner, co-chaired development of the [2015 HWHE Policy Toolkit](#), which details the issues, actions, and implementing elements for improving women’s health across five pillars: workplace health and safety; health access and awareness; work-life balance; sexual and reproductive health; and gender-based violence.

HWHE has found that sex-disaggregated data and gender-based research and analysis is lacking. Policymakers, business leaders and others do not have adequate data and evidence to draw from to identify gender-specific interventions appropriate for their economies and organizations. To spotlight and spur much-needed data and evidence, **Chile, along with Merck’s support, has created an annual prize recognizing research that enables policymakers, business leaders, and others to identify and implement measures to improve women’s health in APEC economies so women can join and rise in the workforce.**

¹ There are two different, unaffiliated companies that use the name Merck. The lead HWHE private sector partner is headquartered in Darmstadt, Germany, and is known as Merck everywhere in the world except in the United States and Canada where it goes by “Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany” and also uses “EMD Serono” in biopharma. The other company, MSD, is headquartered in the United States. It is not affiliated with or related to Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany. MSD is only known as Merck in the United States and Canada.

² The APEC Policy Partnership on Women and the Economy, Health Working Group, and Human Resources Development Working Group have also endorsed the APEC Healthy Women, Healthy Economies Research Prize.

³ Not-for-profit entities must be unaffiliated with governments or government

In order to be considered for this prize, individuals must submit an original piece of research that was published on or after January 1, 2022. All researchers from APEC economies are welcome to apply; you do not require a background in academia in order to be considered. However, the research must be evidence based. Furthermore, the research must be submitted in English. If the research was not originally written in English, please have it professionally translated. The winner of the prize will win USD \$20,000, while the 2 runners-up will win USD \$5,000 each. If the winner or runner up is from government then the prize money will be given instead to HealthyWomen (a women’s health not-for-profit). Alternatively, the winner or runners-up may designate a not-for-profit entity to receive the prize money³. Please attribute the research to all involved in its making, but only one individual may be nominated and eligible to receive the prize money.

Timeline

| Dates | |
|--------------------------|---|
| May 17 | Submit nomination form to HWHE@accesspartnership.com ⁴ |
| May 24 - June 7 | Prize Committee members ⁵ are asked to rank the top applications, also according to the criteria on Pages 8-9. |
| June 14 - June 28 | PPWE members are asked to rank the top applications, also according to the criteria on Pages 8-9. |
| July 1 - 5 | Rankings are tabulated and shared with the Prize Committee and PPWE Chair. The PPWE Chair approves the tabulation |
| July 8 - 12 | The three finalists are notified. |
| August | Results of the 2024 HWHE prize are announced at an event at the third APEC Senior Officials' Meeting (SOM3). |

³ Not-for-profit entities must be unaffiliated with governments or government agencies to be eligible.

⁴ Access Partnership is the prize sponsor’s designated representative.

⁵ The Prize Committee consists of: the PPWE Chair, the PPWE representative from next year’s host economy, 1 representative from Chile (as the proposing economy), 1 representative from academia nominated by the Association of Pacific Rim Universities (APRU), and 1 Merck executive.

Documents:

Submit to HWHE@accesspartnership.com

1. Nomination form;
2. PDF version of the research;
3. One letter of recommendation;
4. A head shot of each individual involved in the research.

| SECTION 1 OF 5 - Nominee Information: | |
|---|-------------|
| APEC Member Economy ⁶ : | |
| Last Name: | First Name: |
| Date of Birth (day/month/year): | |
| Address: | |
| | |
| Telephone: | Email: |
| Title: | |
| Institution/Affiliation: | |
| | |
| SECTION 2 OF 5 – Biography (up to 300 words): | |
| Please include a short biography of all involved with the research ⁷ . | |
| For nominated individual: | |
| | |
| For all others: | |
| | |

⁶ APEC member economies include: Australia; Brunei Darussalam; Canada; Chile; China; Hong Kong, China; Indonesia; Japan; Korea; Malaysia; Mexico; New Zealand; Papua New Guinea; Peru; Philippines; Russia; Singapore; Chinese Taipei; Thailand; United States; Viet Nam.

⁷ Note that while all contributors might be recognized, only the individual nominated will be eligible to win the prize money.

SECTION 3 OF 5 Statement, Part 1 (300 to 500 words):
Describe your research, how it can spur inclusive economic growth for women, and how it is applicable to APEC economies.

SECTION 4 OF 5 Statement, Part 2 (300 to 500 words):
Describe the methodologies employed to collect and use data in your research.



SECTION 5 OF 5 Statement, Part 3 (300 to 500 words):

Outline the policy recommendations borne out of the research you conducted, and as appropriate describe the role that both policymakers and industry can play to help implement those policies.

Empty text box for writing the statement.

Contact: If you have any questions please email HWHE@accesspartnership.com

Selection Criteria

RELEVANCE

Research under consideration should address at least one of the five pillars identified in the [2015 HWHE Policy Toolkit](#), unless it offers a compelling case for a new area of women's health that has the potential to dramatically increase women's economic and social wellbeing in APEC economies. The five pillars are: workplace and health safety; health access and awareness; work-life balance; sexual and reproductive health; and gender-based violence.

Does the research address at least one of the five pillars identified in the HWHE Policy Toolkit? If not, does it make a compelling case for a new pillar that would improve women's economic and social standing in the APEC economies?

Not at all compelling

Not very compelling

Neutral

Somewhat compelling

Very compelling

INNOVATION

Submitted research should present not only original data, but also innovative solutions supported by said data. Furthermore, while researchers should make use of the literature in their respective fields by citing pieces where appropriate, research that contain new policy solutions or approach existing solutions from a unique angle will receive higher consideration.

To what extent are the research and conclusions/recommendations original?

Not at all original

Not very original

Neutral

Somewhat original

Very original

IMPACT

Researchers should provide ample evidence for why their research has the potential to positively impact inclusive economic growth for women through discrete, actionable recommendations.

Does this research demonstrate an ability to positively impact inclusive economic growth for women?

Not ability at all Not very strong ability Neutral Somewhat strong ability Very strong ability

Does the research include discrete, actionable conclusions/recommendations drawn from its research?

No discrete and actionable recommendations at all Not very discrete and actionable recommendations at all Neutral Somewhat discrete and actionable recommendations Very discrete and actionable recommendations

METHODOLOGY AND USE OF EVIDENCE-BASED RESEARCH

Given the need for evidence-based research to identify discrete policy recommendations for gender-based inclusive economic growth, it is crucial that sound methodologies are employed for any research used to draw conclusions concerning policy. As a result, any research under consideration should include a detailed explanation of the data collection process. Moreover, to the greatest extent possible, disaggregated data should be used to in order to support the researcher's exploration of the topic.

Was the data collection process explained thoroughly and does it appear that the data was collected in a methodologically sound manner?

Not sound at all Not very sound Neutral Somewhat sound Very sound

Are conclusions/recommendations justified with a robust set of data?

Not justified at all Not very justified Neutral Somewhat justified Very justified

FEASIBILITY

the likelihood that they will enact change. Researchers that offer solutions likely to be implemented will be Any policy proposals borne out of the research ought to consider the feasibility of such recommendations and rated more highly than those who offer solutions without any consideration of their practicality.

If any of the conclusions/recommendations were taken up, how feasible would it be to implement them?

Not feasible at all Not very feasible Neutral Somewhat feasible Very feasible